Imaging of the small bowel in Crohn's disease: A review of old and new techniques
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INTRODUCTION

The small bowel has been defined for many years as the “black box” of the gastrointestinal system due to its inaccessibility to endoscopic exploration. Indeed, until recently, the only available endoscopic technique to explore the small bowel, the so-called push enteroscopy, was very seldom able of a complete small bowel exploration; this may become an important limit, particularly in Crohn's disease which often involves the most distal portion of the ileum.

Therefore, the conventional radiological methods, i.e. small bowel enteroclysis (SBE) and small bowel follow-through (SBFT), have long been the only imaging methods providing information on the morphological features of the small bowel valuable in the diagnosis and management of Crohn's disease.

In the last few years, several alternative techniques have been proposed for imaging of the small bowel. Indeed, the evaluation of bowel wall features, as well as of extraintestinal involvement by bowel ultrasound (US), has gained diffuse popularity. At the same time, developments in hardware and software components, as well as in the use of contrast media, have led Magnetic Resonance (MR) and Computed Tomography (CT) to have a recognized role in the study of intestinal diseases and of their intra-abdominal complications.
Furthermore, recently the direct visualization of the mucosa of the whole small bowel has become possible thanks to the development of video-capsule endoscopy (VCE) and of “double-balloon” enteroscopy.

The aim of this review is to point out the most recent advances and the advantages and limitations of the various imaging techniques now available for the study of the small bowel, with particular reference to their use in patients with suspected or proven Crohn’s disease.

**CONVENTIONAL RADIOLOGY**

As stated before, investigation of the small bowel in Crohn’s disease has been based for a long time on radiological techniques, such as small bowel enteroclysis (SBE) and small bowel follow-through (SBFT).

Typical small bowel changes which can be observed by means of these techniques include irregular thickening and distortion of the valvulae conniventes, loops adhesions (mass-like effect) or separated loops because of wall thickening and mesenteric inflammatory infiltration. Transverse and longitudinal distribution of ulcerations can separate islands of thickened internal wall, resulting in the typical cobblestone appearance. Strictures are often separated by healthy bowel tracts (skip lesions); impaired small bowel peristalsis is commonly observed within rigid stenotic tracts. Extrinsice compression may be observed, due to mesentery lymph node enlargement.

The conventional technique was historically able to differentiate between Crohn’s disease, granulomatous enteritis and ulcerative colitis.

Both SBE and SBFT, when performed by experienced examiners, appear to be characterized by similar sensitivity (85%-95%) and specificity (89%-94%) in detecting the radiological lesions typical of Crohn’s disease. The preference for one technique or the other largely depends on institutional standards, while the preference of patients is usually in favour of SBFT since no nasal (or oral) intubation is required. SBFT is also usually associated with a lower radiation exposure and is a less expensive and time consuming examination; moreover, SBFT does not miss duodenal disease.

Both procedures are able to evaluate small bowel peristalsis, the intra-abdominal distribution of bowel loops, the presence of strictures and dilatations, the distensibility of the intestinal lumen, the presence of fistulae (Figure 1), the morphology of circular folds and other features of the mucosal surface. All this information is very valuable in the evaluation of patients with Crohn’s disease.

Also, since SBE and SBFT have represented the standard approach for a long time, they are now commonly used as terms of comparison in evaluating the diagnostic accuracy of all new imaging techniques.

**BOWEL ULTRASOUND**

The first attempts to study Crohn’s disease by means of ultrasound (US) date back to the late Seventies. Since then, many studies have addressed the possible role of this technique in diagnosing and monitoring Crohn’s disease with continuous improving quality in US imaging due to evolving technological advancements.

The main ultrasound findings in Crohn’s disease are represented by thickening and stiffness of the gut wall, modifications or lack of its echolocality, reduction of peristalsis, mesenteric fibro-fatty proliferation, lymph node enlargement; in case of complications, narrowing of the intestinal lumen, abscesses and fistula are usually easily detectable (Table 1).

At least four studies have prospectively compared the diagnostic accuracy of US with that of radiological studies, endoscopy or surgery in suspected Crohn’s disease at diagnosis. In these studies, the sensitivity of US ranged between 84% and 90% and its specificity reached 98%-100%.

The capacity of US to detect the exact location of Crohn’s disease was also compared with the findings at radiology, endoscopy or surgery in suspected Crohn’s disease. In the largest series, the overall sensitivity was 93% and the specificity was 97%, the highest sensitivity (95%) being reached when the disease involved the terminal ileum.

The use of US has also been proposed in the follow-up of patients with known Crohn’s disease, not only when an abdominal complication (i.e., strictures, abscesses or fistula) is suspected, but also in asymptomatic patients in order to identify the occurrence of complication(s) at an earlier stage.

Indeed, US compares very well with radiological techniques and surgery, mainly in the detection of
COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY

Since the first studies suggesting its possible role in the management of Crohn’s disease patients[28], Computed Tomography (CT) has usually been utilized for the detection of extra-enteric complications of Crohn’s disease, mainly intra-abdominal abscesses, but is also suitable in the evaluation of strictures, prestenotic dilatations and fistulas. Non-enhanced CT scan is also used in the diagnosis of post-surgical complications (intra-peritoneal abscesses, anastomotic deiscence, extra-abdominal abscesses and fistulas, incisional hernias, ascites, volvulus, bowel adhesions, etc.).

This diagnostic technique has also evolved more recently in contrast-enhanced examination, using intravenous administration of iodine contrast agents, and CT-enteroclysis, that can be obtained by means of a fairly large amount (1500 to 2000 mL or more) of contrast agent administered orally or by the positioning of a nasojejunal tube; this technique usually allows the evaluation of the colon as well.

Table 2 Detection of strictures in Crohn’s disease: comparison between US and conventional radiology or surgery (prospective studies)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (ref)</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Comparator</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maconi et al[19]</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Small bowel/enteroclysis</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kohn et al[20]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Small bowel enema</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasche et al[21]</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Surgery/pathology</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parente et al[22]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Small bowel enema</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 (operated)</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Parente et al[22].

Commonly intravenous and intra-luminal contrast agents are used in combination[29-31]. Various types of intra-luminal contrast media were used to allow negative or positive contrast between the intestinal wall and the lumen[29-33]. Negative intra-luminal contrast agents facilitate the demonstration of normal and diseased bowel segments, particularly after intravenous contrast administration[16].

As a bowel distension media, some authors used a combination of water and iodined contrast agent, or pure water alone. Some authors prefer methylcellulose-water[34], the latter solution requiring a semi-automatic injector pump.

An appropriate patient preparation with intestinal cleaning should be performed; the small bowel tract should be clean and empty, with lumen distension[30-34], avoiding collapsed loops that may mimic wall thickening, strictures and enlarged lymph nodes or abscesses, that can result in diagnostic mistakes[31-33].

The intravenous injection of an antiperistaltic drug immediately prior to scanning, blocking the peristalsis, minimizes bowel movement or contraction and allows better intra-luminal distension avoiding the progression of the enteral solution.

A recent study demonstrated that noninvasive peroral CT evaluation of the small bowel is as accurate as CT with jejunal infusion in detecting active small bowel inflammation in Crohn’s disease patients[19].

The main findings at CT scan observed in Crohn’s disease patients, are small bowel wall stratification and/or thickening (“target” or “double halo” appearance), with or without contrast enhancement, oedema of the mesenteric fat, engorged ileal vasa recta (“comb sign”), sub-mucosal fibro-fatty infiltration and mesenteric adenopathy. The pattern and length of mural contrast enhancement should be carefully evaluated. Wall enhancement is a direct expression of trans-mural inflammation; on the contrary, a thickened non-enhancing tract is usually the result of the evolution in submucosal or trans-mural fibrosis. The limited spatial resolution of conventional helical CT images results in lower rates of demonstration of early disease manifestations and of fistulas and sinus tracts, when compared with enteroclysis examinations.

More recently, the development of multislice helical CT scanners improved visualization of the small bowel[40-42], and abdomen in general, both with higher spatial and temporal resolution acquiring isotropic voxel slices in a single breath-hold scan. These examination modalities allow better three dimensional reconstruction methods (multiplanar reconstruction, volume rendering and surface...
Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging is a non-invasive, non-ionizing radiation diagnostic technique able to obtain multiplanar diagnostic information about intra- and extra-mural involvement of the small bowel by Crohn’s disease and its complications.

MR evaluation of the small bowel had no clinical application and was still considered an experimental technique until the late Nineties mainly because of poor quality in depicting the gastrointestinal tract. Later, MR technical improvements (multichannel and phased-array coils, faster gradients; fast and ultrafast gradient echo and steady state sequences) allowed a deeper insight into luminal and extra-luminal structures with higher spatial resolution during breath-holding.

Small bowel distension with intra-luminal contrast agent had been known for years in conventional X-ray enteroclysis with barium solution, when it was first reported in publications relating to MR imaging. Nowadays, this approach should be considered mandatory in order to obtain bowel loop separation and good contrast resolution between lumen, wall and extra-mural structures.

The first examples of new sequences and bowel distension were reported in the late 1990s, comparing spin-echo unenhanced sequences with spoiled gradient-echo images with intra-luminal barium contrast agent and intravenous Gd-base paramagnetic contrast, obtaining greater diagnostic value in identifying small bowel wall abnormalities.

Nowadays, thanks to powerful gradients MR, there is a wide use of fast spin-echo and fast gradient echo sequences that are able to acquire 20-30 slices during a single breath-hold. Spectral fat saturation can be added to T2-weighted sequences to maximize contrast between lumen and wall and between bowels and mesenteric fat.

Intra-luminal contrast agents can be administered orally or by nasojejunal catheter (NJ). Contrast media are commonly classified as positive, biphasic or negative, according to their action on signal intensity. Oral administration is obviously better tolerated than the positioning and introduction through an NJC. However, the latter technique allows better distension of the small bowel loops because of higher injection pressure in a shorter time.

The necessity to minimize bowel movements during the acquisition is satisfied using an antiperistaltic drug (usually, joscine N-butylbromure) administered intravenously when the patient is positioned inside the MR unit. The use of intravenous paramagnetic contrast agent is a powerful tool to detect the precise localization of the disease, or to evaluate the persistence of inflammation despite active therapy. Moreover, it also facilitates the diagnosis of mesenterial abscesses, fistulae, and other abdominal or extra-abdominal complications. Gd-chelates are commonly used, followed by 10-20 mL of saline flush.

Arterial and later acquisition phases can be important to distinguish the submucosal enhancement in the arterial phase, that is a distinctive diagnostic sign of active disease in an intestinal segment, especially if the wall is locally thickened. The optimal contrast enhancement can be achieved using fat-saturated sequences.

Importantly, a good correlation between the degree of wall enhancement after intravenous contrast injection and disease activity, calculated using the Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI), has been obtained, with an overall high specificity for MR findings. Several recent studies have shown that MR enteroclysis is characterized by high sensitivity and specificity (> 80%) in the diagnosis and evaluation of Crohn’s disease (Figures 2 and 3).

VIDEO CAPSULE ENDOSCOPY (VCE)

The introduction in clinical practice of VCE has made available, for the first time in history, a potentially safe and painless endoscopic method to evaluate the entire small bowel.
Since its introduction and on the grounds of many papers published in the last five years, VCE has quickly become an essential diagnostic tool in many disease conditions involving the small bowel, although, at the beginning, Crohn’s disease was considered a contraindication for VCE due to the risk of asymptomatic strictures potentially leading to capsule retention.

However, the first suggestion of the possible role of VCE in diagnosing Crohn’s disease came from the unexpected findings of small bowel lesions (observed with a frequency of about 10%) which were suspected for Crohn’s disease (Figures 4 and 5) in several series of patients who had been evaluated for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. Most of these patients only had obscure gastrointestinal bleeding with no symptoms of Crohn’s disease and had been thoroughly evaluated with an extensive endoscopic and radiologic diagnostic work-up before performing VCE. Thus, the presence of these unexpected findings at VCE suggested its superiority in detecting Crohn’s disease lesions in patients with mild clinical suspicion and negative traditional imaging studies. Indeed, in this clinical setting, VCE appears to be superior to the other, most frequently used, diagnostic techniques (SBE, SBFT, retrograde ileoscopy, entero-CT and entero-MR) although a recent meta-analysis failed to show statistically significant differences in the diagnostic yields of VCE versus other imaging techniques (Table 4).

A recent, single-centre, prospective study evaluated the accuracy of VCE in diagnosing Crohn’s disease in a cohort of patients with suspicion of the disease (defined as diarrhoea of more than three months duration accompanied by anaemia, and/or weight loss, and/or fever, and/or extra-intestinal manifestations) after 21 mo of follow-up. This study showed high sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative likelihood ratio (93%, 84%, 5.8 and 0.08, respectively) of this new diagnostic tool in diagnosing Crohn’s disease.

The role of VCE has also been assessed in patients with known Crohn’s disease. Several recent studies highlighted the superior diagnostic yield of VCE (ranging from 61% to 77%) when compared to that of SBFT, SBE or entero-CT (ranging from 19% to 26%) in assessing small bowel involvement in patients with a previous diagnosis of Crohn’s disease. In this setting, VCE appears to have a superior capability in evaluating the extent of small bowel involvement when compared with push enteroscopy (Table 5).

There are, however, some limitations to take into account in evaluating these data. In patients with clinically suspected Crohn’s disease with no further evidence of the disease, one should be cautious in interpreting VCE findings. In fact, the significance of isolated lesions or mucosal breaks in the small bowel is not clear, since they may be observed also in normal subjects, and more frequently in subjects taking NSAIDs. Thus, before giving a diagnosis of Crohn’s disease to a patient with VCE small bowel lesions only, a careful and thorough clinical evaluation should be performed.

Performing VCE may be helpful in evaluating patients with indeterminate colitis (IC). Indeed, in some series, 20%-50% of IC patients are characterized by the presence of small bowel lesions, leading to a change in their...
Further possible applications of VCE have been suggested in a paediatric setting, in the evaluation of post-surgical recurrence and in the surveillance of mucosal healing after biologic therapy.

The major limitations of the use of VCE in Crohn's disease are represented by an incomplete evaluation of the small bowel, occurring in 20%-35% of patients, and by the possibility of capsule retention, occurring in 1%, 4%-6%, 7% of patients with Crohn's disease. To avoid this complication, it is debated whether or not radiological study should be performed before VCE. Further studies are also needed to clarify the possible role of a time-controlled dissolving capsule to be performed before VCE.

### DOUBLE BALLOON ENDOscopy

Double balloon enteroscopy (also called push and pull enteroscopy) was described for the first time by Yamamoto et al. in 2001.

The principle of the double balloon technique allows not only a complete endoscopic evaluation of the small bowel but also makes it possible to take biopsies and to carry out therapeutic interventions along the whole small bowel compared with VCE it results in an inconvenient and invasive procedure requiring specialized equipment, sedation of patients, fluoroscopy and prolonged examination time.

Preliminary data suggest its possible use in the diagnosis of Crohn's disease, however, to date, there are no published studies comparing double balloon enteroscopy with capsule endoscopy or other imaging modalities in the diagnosis of small bowel Crohn's disease.

### CONCLUSION

In recent years, several radiologic and endoscopic techniques have been developed for the study of the small bowel. Each of these techniques is characterized by its own profile of favourable and unfavourable features. The main pros and cons of the different techniques used for the evaluation of the small bowel in Crohn's disease are summarized in Table 6.

Ultrasound and entero-MR appear to be particularly interesting, since neither of them use ionizing radiation. The main advantage of MR 'enterolysis' over ultrasound is the panoramic view over the whole abdominal cavity, allowing the detection of disease involvement in other gastrointestinal segments or abdominal organs. Moreover, ultrasound is an operator-dependent technique and its use is limited in patients with a large abdomen.

On the other hand, ultrasound is a low cost and easily available diagnostic tool.

Thus, we believe that when the diagnosis of Crohn's disease is established and we want to investigate the small bowel, one of these two tests should be performed, and the choice should be based on the presence and availability of the techniques and of experienced operators in the area.

A different choice may be performed when the diagnosis has to be reached, particularly in patients suspected of Crohn's disease and negative upper and lower endoscopy. In these cases, endoscopy appears to be superior to all other techniques, particularly when minute lesions are suspected; thus, capsule endoscopy (when no suspicion of a significant stricture exists) or double balloon enteroscopy should be the preferred tests to be performed. In cases of only isolated lesions and in the absence of a typical histological picture, one should be cautious before making a diagnosis of Crohn's disease on the basis of these findings only.

In general, when we care for patients with Crohn's disease we should always keep in mind that, during the natural course of the disease, these patients will undergo repeated examinations in their follow-up: thus, our goals should always be (1) to perform tests only when they are expected to provide important clues for the management of the patients and (2) to choose those tests with the best profile of diagnostic accuracy and safety.

Keeping this in mind, we have to recognize that all these new developments have opened a new and exciting area in the exploration of the small bowel.
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Crohn’s disease often affects the small bowel and is characterised by the occurrence of several intra- and extra-luminal complications. The study of the small bowel is mandatory in patients with Crohn’s disease. In the last years, several techniques have been proposed for the imaging of the small bowel as possible alternatives to the conventional ones.
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